

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
ROCK HILL DIVISION

Emilio Craig, ) C/A NO. 0:06-2061-CMC-Bm  
                  )  
Plaintiff,      )  
                  ) **OPINION and ORDER**  
v.                )  
                  )  
Darlington County Detention Center; and )  
Doctor NFN Gamble,     )  
                  )  
Defendants.      )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint alleging violations of his constitutional rights. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(d), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation. On March 9, 2007, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that this case be dismissed without prejudice as to Doctor Gamble, and that Defendant Darlington County Detention Center be dismissed from this action. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and Recommendation and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff has filed no objections and the time for doing so has expired.<sup>1</sup>

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court.

---

<sup>1</sup>The court notes that Plaintiff's copy of the Report and Recommendation was returned to the Clerk by the United States Post Office marked "Return to Sender Attempted Not Known." (Dkt. # 23, filed Mar. 23, 2007). Plaintiff was specifically advised when this matter was filed of his responsibility to provide the Clerk with his current address.

*See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). The court reviews the Report and Recommendation only for clear error in the absence of an objection. *See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that “in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.”) (citation omitted).

After reviewing the record of this matter, the applicable law, and the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, the court agrees with the conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the court adopts and incorporates the Report and Recommendation by reference in this Order. This matter is dismissed without prejudice as to Doctor Gamble as Plaintiff has failed to exhaust his administrative remedies. Darlington County Detention Center is dismissed from this action as it is not amenable to suit under § 1983.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie  
CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina  
April 23, 2007

C:\Documents and Settings\arh47\Local Settings\Temp\notes6030C8\06-2061 Craig v. DCDC e adopt rr gr sumjgm.wpd